

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ON

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET N	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,603		06/28/2001	Yoshihiko Toyoda	401265	4828	
23548	7590	12/31/2003	•	EX	EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW				ANDUJA	ANDUJAR, LEONARDO	
700 THIRTE SUITE 300	EENTH S	I. NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	TON, DC	20005-3960		2826		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/892,603	TOYODA, YOSHIHIKO				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonardo Andújar	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
This application is abandoned in view of:	A.	ATHAN J. FLYHIN				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 06/04/2008 PERVISORY PATEUR EXAMINER         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated TECHNOLOGIEM is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> <li>(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejectio application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed and Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	mendment which places the				
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity under 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
7. The reason(s) below:						
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20031223				